



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/606,835

06/27/2003

Kazuhiro Nakamura

KAS-184

4821

7590 03/08/2007
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.
Suite 370
1800 Diagonal Road
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

GORDON, BRIAN R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1743

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/08/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/606,835

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-19-06.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6-27-03 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to applicant's remarks in regards to the drawings and defining the means of the claim, applicant states the entire computer management system 11 is the same element of those means of claims 2, 3, 5, and 7-10 (see applicant's remarks; page 9). As such, it is asserted the claims referenced above do not add in further structure to that recited in claim 1, but yet recite additional functions or intended use of the control separation means (entire computer management system 11, as previously interpreted as such). A device which discloses a computer system capable of being programmed to operate as such would meet the limitations of the claims.

In view of applicant's confirmation that the computer system 11 defines all of the recited means as addressed above, the examiner hereby withdraws the objection to the drawings and the previous 112 rejections.

As to art rejection, applicant asserts the instant invention differs from that of Mimura et al. in that "when a reagent in one apparatus becomes short, a sample is carried to another analyzing apparatus which has the same reagent. Mimura et al., however, does not disclose stopping the analyzing apparatus in which a certain reagent becomes short and to then change the short reagent as in Applicant's invention."

It should be noted that the argument is based upon how the device is used what applicant intends to occur when a shortage is detected. When a reagent is changed, refilled, replenished, etc. is a process limitation not one which changes the structure.

As pointed out by applicant, unlike applicant's invention shutting down the entire system of Mimura et al. is not required when a shortage is detected. However each individual unit can be shutdown (stopped) when a shortage is detected as indicated in the passage below.

Mimura discloses:

In the unit of the embodiment shown in FIG. 1, the startup and shutdown operation of the individual analyzer unit 3A to 3G can be specified by the key installed in the operation unit 42. Based on the key-oriented specification information supplied by the operation unit 42, the central control computer 40 makes the sample move from the rack providing portion 17 and transferred through the transfer line 20 to the currently operable analyzer unit other than the analyzer unit currently shutdown (column 10, line 47).

The moment in time which the operator chooses to change the reagent of the shutdown unit is a matter of choice. The operator can change the reagent in the shutdown unit while the remaining unit continues to operate or choose to do such when all analysis has been completed.

The device of Mimura appears to allow for a more efficient analysis operation than that of applicant by preventing a delay in processing.

Mimura discloses the required structure of the shortage detector and the computer system as required.

For reasons given herein, the previous rejection of claims 1 and 3-10 are hereby maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mimura et al. US 6,733,728.

Mimura discloses the invention as claimed. Mimura discloses an analyzer system comprising a transfer line for transferring a sample rack from a rack providing portion to a rack storage portion, and a plurality of analyzer units each having a reaction unit, a sample pipetting unit for pipetting a sample on the sample rack into the reaction unit, and a reagent supply unit for supplying a reagent corresponding to an analysis item to the reaction unit, the plurality of analyzer units being arranged along the transfer line, and a large number of samples being inspected and analyzed using the plurality of

analyzer units. In the present invention, an analysis-item corresponding reagent used for the same kind of designated analysis item is allocated to a designated analyzer unit and another analyzer unit of the plurality of analyzer units, respectively, and the above designated analysis item is processed by the designated analyzer unit. A control unit (shortage detection) judges whether the amount of the above described analysis-item corresponding reagent is short or not in accompanying the consumption of this analysis-item corresponding reagent in the designated analyzer unit (column 2, lines 6-24).

The control unit has a central control computer 40, analyzer unit computers 6A to 6G, and a floppy disk memory 41. The analyzer unit computers 6A to 6G process the output signals from the individual analyzer unit. The central control computer 40 connected to those individual analyzer unit computers 6A to 6G controls the operation of the individual analysis units, the rack transfer system and the related sub-parts in the analyzer system as well as performs numerical calculations and control actions necessary for designated information processing. **Function assignment to the computers is not limited to the above example**, but can be modified in response to various requirements on the system configuration, even including such a case that all the control functions conventionally assigned to the distributed analyzer unit computers can be integrated onto the central control computer 40 and the analyzer unit computers can be retired. The central control computer 40 includes a memory unit 45, to which are connected the operation unit 42 used for data input, the CRT 43 (display) for displaying information visually, and the printer 44 for outputting the measurement and examination results (column 4, lines 19-39).

The amount of the reagent fluid left in the reagent bottle used for the individual analysis items in the individual analyzer units is monitored by the central control computer 40. As for the method for monitoring the reagent fluid left in the reagent bottle, often used are a method in which the fluid level sensor attached to the reagent pipette nozzle detects the reagent fluid level in the reagent bottle when the corresponding reagent fluid is picked up and pipetted, or a method in which a pre-input maximum analyzable number is subtracted by one every pipetting of the reagent. In either of the methods described above, whether the amount of the reagent fluid used for the designated analysis items is enough or short is determined by the central control computer 4 considering whether the remaining analyzable number reaches the value or not. The lower bound value pre-determined in this case is, for example, zero, 1 or 2. For example, in case that the amount of the reagent fluid for GOT stored in the specified analyzer unit 3B is proved to be short, the analysis of GOT by the analyzer unit 3B is interrupted and at the same time, the analysis of GOT is switched to the analyzer unit 3A which may contain enough of the reagent fluid for GOT inspection. Therefore, the samples to be processed for GOT inspection analysis operation are forwarded directly to the analyzer unit 3A to which the operation priority for GOT inspection is assigned thereafter (column 9, line 36 –column 10, line 35).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'B. R. Gordon', with a stylized flourish at the end.

brg

BRIAN R. GORDON
PRIMARY EXAMINER